1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

I, Peter J.McManemy, am the Chief Financial Officer of Sequoia Voting Systems, Inc. ("Sequoia"), a defendant in the above-entitled action. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and can testify to them if called upon to do so.

- 1. I have worked at Sequoia for five (5) years. As the Chief Financial Officer, my responsibilities include maintaining the financial books of record.
- 2. Sequoia is a nationwide corporation in the business of providing equipment and supplies to state and local election officials. Sequoia has been in the business of supplying election equipment for over 100 years. A significant portion of Sequoia's business is the development, manufacture and sale of two different types of direct recording electronic voting machines.
- 3. Over the past 15 years, more than 120 local election jurisdictions across the United States have used Sequoia's electronic voting systems. More than 15 million registered voters were served by Sequoia electronic voting equipment in 2004 and cast millions of ballots with hundreds of millions of individual votes properly recorded using the government-approved Sequoia software.
- 4. Sequoia's electronic voting systems involve stand-alone electronic voting machines and the software and firmware upon which the machines operate.
- 5. In 2002, the federal government provided more than \$3 billion in funding to help modernize elections, primarily through the addition of new voting technology. Sequoia currently has approximately 30% of the market share in an industry which expects to see sales in excess of \$3 billion over the next three years. In fiscal year 2003/04, Sequoia's revenue was more than \$75 million. During the next three years, Sequoia's projected revenue is in excess of \$500 million.
 - 6. To compete and succeed in this industry, Sequoia must ensure its voting systems are

Case No. C-05-0877 RSM

27

28

accurate, reliable and secure. To do so, Sequoia has spent hundreds of man-years of work to develop, maintain and certify the software and firmware on its voting machines. The cost of developing, maintaining and certifying the software and firmware is conservatively estimated at more than \$10 million.

- 7. Sequoia has spent hundreds of thousands of dollars to have its proprietary voting software and hardware successfully tested by federally-sanctioned independent testing laboratories which ensure compliance with complex and rigorous national and state voting system standards.
- 8. Sequoia spends in excess of \$10,000 annually to ensure that true copies of the federally certified software are stored in escrow to meet contractual and statutory mandates. In partnership with the federal Election Assistance Commission, Sequoia has also made deposits of its voting software in the National Software Reference Library coordinated by the National Institute for Standards and Technology under the United States Department of Commerce.
- 9. Counties that purchase Sequoia's voting systems must pay a licensing fee to use Sequoia's proprietary software and firmware. In Sequoia's contract with the County of Snohomish, the County paid an initial \$200,000 license fee and pays an annual \$40,000 license fee thereafter.
- 10. To ensure Sequoia's voting system remains secure from fraud, tampering and abuse, and to protect one of its most valuable business assets, Sequoia protects the source code from broad disclosure and only provides access to trusted agents of the federal and state government charged with reviewing the code for security, accuracy and reliability. The source code is the line-by-line programming instructions that comprise the software and firmware.
- 11. Maintaining the secrecy of the source code is an important component of maintaining the security of Sequoia's voting systems. It is much more difficult to compromise

Case No. C-05-0877 RSM

27

28

a voting system when a would-be attacker does not have access to the line-by-line instructions of program upon which the machines are operating.

- 12. When conducting a security audit for the Nevada Secretary of State, the Electronic Services Division of the Nevada Gaming Control Board recommended the statewide purchase of the Sequoia system over a competitor, partially because the Sequoia operating software had not been made available to the public and the software of a competitor had been widely circulated.
- 13. The disclosure and public dissemination of the source code would compromise the security of the voting system, thereby undermining the integrity and confidence of election results. For the same reason, disclosure of the source code would diminish Sequoia's ability to compete and succeed in the market. In addition, disclosure would allow competitors to reap the benefits of the millions of dollars and years of effort Sequoia spent to develop, produce and certify their software and firmware.
- 14. Considering that Sequoia has a 30% market share in the billion electronic voting industry, the disclosure of the source code would likely cause Sequoia to lose tens of millions of dollars in revenue and would certainly cause the loss of more than one million.
- 15. I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct under the laws of the State of California, executed on July 1, 2005 in Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082.

Peter McManemy
Declarant

Case No. C-05-0877 RSM

-3-